lawsuits and taking your chances somewhere else. That's number one.

Number two, if you choose not to do that, then I am going to stay 1980 and 1984 until I receive word from the bankruptcy court that the stay has been lifted and that you are allowed to proceed against Mr. Marcantel and Mr. Ackermann.

Case number three I am going to recommend be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction that I have raised on my own motion today for the reasons I've just told you.

So what does that mean to you? You've spent a whole lot of time and a whole lot of money not to have gotten anywhere, whereas if you voluntarily dismiss these cases, then I will gladly speak to these gentlemen over there -- they may object to that, but ultimately I think I can help them see the wisdom of my position, and you can go somewhere else to do whatever you wish to do with them. I will suggest to you that there is another forum to do what you are trying to do, and I've already suggested that.

If these lawyers behaved unprofessionally and unethically as you allege -- and I promise you, Ms. Bell, if you're making those allegations and they're true, then the Bar Association should hear about them. But I promise you also that if they're not true, don't you file that complaint against these gentlemen because you're mad at them because you lost in the bankruptcy court because you could be sanctioned just as easily

