

offer was likewise made at a time when the beneficiaries had employed counsel to seek disclosure of information.

The record of this proceeding is replete with further examples of Ms. Bell's self-dealing and failure to act on behalf of the beneficiaries of the Trust. The court finds that Ms. Bell breached her fiduciary duties. As a result, the court finds that Ms. Bell is entitled to no compensation for her services as trustee of the Trust. Further, as the transfer of Trust property was a breach of fiduciary duties, the Plaintiff is entitled to judgment against Ms. Bell for the amount of property transferred from the Trust, including the \$266,000.00 transferred via the Dation and the \$162,214.96 in expenses paid by Ms. Bell which were unrelated to Trust business.

The recovery shall include income received by Ms. Bell (\$18,009.97) subsequent to the Dation and attributable to the transferred property. Further, early in these proceedings, Amerada Hess deposited the sum of \$38,540.64 in the registry of the court. These funds, representing royalty proceeds from the transferred property, constitute property of the estate and are to be turned over to the Trustee.

RECOVERY OF PROPERTY UNDER TRUST LAW

The Plaintiff requests that the court set aside the Dation and order the property returned to the Trust. The Defendants argue