



Sue Bell <bellsue849@gmail.com>

# Fwd: Read: Zapalac's WARNING

2 messages

**bellsue@cox.net <bellsue@cox.net>**

**Fri, Mar 11, 2011 at 8:11 PM**

To: bellsue849@gmail.com

--  
Best regards,

Sue Bell  
101 Chateau Place  
Lafayette, Louisiana 70503  
337.278.7391

- > From: "Allan Durand" <durand@plddo.com>
- > To: <bellsue@cox.net>
- > Subject: Read: Zapalac's WARNING
- > Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:38:57 -0500
- >
- > This is a receipt for the mail you sent to
- > "Allan" <durand@plddo.com>; "amy" <amyleblanc@cox-internet.com> at 8/14/06 8:32 AM
- >
- > This receipt verifies that the message has been displayed on the recipient's computer at 8/14/06 10:38 AM

**Read: Zapalac's WARNING (1)**  
1K

**bellsue@cox.net <bellsue@cox.net>**

**Fri, Mar 11, 2011 at 8:11 PM**

To: bellsue849@gmail.com

--  
Best regards,

Sue Bell  
101 Chateau Place  
Lafayette, Louisiana 70503  
337.278.7391

- > Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 8:32:25 -0500
- > From: <bellsue@cox.net>
- > To: Allan <durand@plddo.com>, amy <amyleblanc@cox-internet.com>
- > Subject: Zapalac's WARNING
- >
- > Allan, Stan
- >
- > Please see attached warning from 5th.
- >
- > Sue Bell

**Complaint judicial officer 081206.doc**

Allan & Stan

I really don't know what is going on but not much is making sense and all of this I hope you both agree **is critical** to me in my appeal to the 5<sup>th</sup> circuit!

Barry Joffrion told me he could only provide what the bankruptcy court sent him. But as I recall, when I wanted to review the Omni Craft records Jeff/I were involved in, I had to retrieve the whole file from Shreveport and sit and review in Opelousas. Given that recollection, it would seem that Barry should have had all the records, and he certainly had the letter of designation because he included it as page 41 of the 337 pages he bat stamped. **So, who is really to fault and blame here for this grave negligence? Maybe Shreveport only gets the closed files, and Barry's correct.**

**URGENT**

Mr. Zapalac a clerk to the 5<sup>th</sup> circuit judges, has **WARNED** that a motion to supplement evidence may **NOT** be successful. It's going to take more than your normal motion to assure that this gets **NEGLIGENCE** gets resolved to my benefit!

(I also need for Aaron the transcripts of the hearing when Schiff questioned his own authority as to whether this case belonged in his court as the old Baton Rouge Judge did not allow a trust in his court. Allan do you recall which hearing that was?)

**How convenient?** By withholding my bench books of evidence no argument (applying standards of abuse and clear error in fact finding) can be made in my behalf that would be supported by the exhibits of evidence! **It is hard for me to believe that this was not an intentional omission given this whole case history and Alice/Schiff's vague decision that they would not want overturned by the evidence!!**

**We also need Jeff's exhibit on \$162,000.**

The file does not even contain the Bell Family Trust instrument, Friedman correspondence, Wilfred Bell's criminal indictments, my employment history, etc, etc. And since Schiff did not allow my testimony on the exhibits, there is not way to point to it!!

**A clerk at the 5<sup>th</sup> advised that I am entitled to an investigation in this matter.** Among the different methods of calling for an investigation;

A formal complaint outlining every aspect of the complaint to both the U.S. Bankruptcy Court – Shreveport and;

Clerk of Court - US Court of Appeals  
Thurgood Marshall US Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, N.Y. 10007

She wasn't certain but offered that perhaps Title 28, USC 351 was a possible avenue of complaint against a judicial officer.

I am requesting that all efforts to bring about a successful investigation with an end result of "ALL" my case evidence being forwarded to the 5<sup>th</sup> take place. **Please advise me of a plan of action that will bring about the proper resolve that is favorable to me.**

Sue Bell