



Sue Bell &lt;bellsue849@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Fwd: Fwd: KAT**

3 messages

---

**bellsue@cox.net <bellsue@cox.net>****Fri, Mar 11, 2011 at 5:05 PM**

To: bellsue849@gmail.com

--

Best regards,

Sue Bell  
101 Chateau Place  
Lafayette, Louisiana 70503  
337.278.7391

&gt; Date: Thu, 26 Oct 2006 11:54:09 -0500

&gt; From: &lt;bellsue@cox.net&gt;

> To: [amyleblanc@cox-internet.com](mailto:amyleblanc@cox-internet.com)

&gt; Subject: Fwd: KAT

&gt;

&gt;

&gt;&gt; Date: Thu, 26 Oct 2006 7:56:44 -0500

&gt;&gt; From: &lt;bellsue@cox.net&gt;

>> To: Allan <[durand@plddo.com](mailto:durand@plddo.com)>

&gt;&gt; Subject: KAT

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; Sorry I missed your call yesterday, you sounded better about this; a good thing!

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; Monday I personally went over as you advised and got with Kat and she was to call me Tuesday with amount.

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; Tues 11:00 am she called to ask questions about exhibits and during conversation; she commented; "It was up to your atty to request the whole record."

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; WELL, I replied, "Kat my attorney did request the whole record, it's Laura who did not provide the record to the district."

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; I pointed her to your designation, and Laura's lacking transmittal and told her, "Imagine having an appeal at the district level and your record is not even there. This was devastating. This could have been reversed at teh District level had my record been there as designated. Also, that is why the 5th gave us an extension because Allan had to tell the 5th what was wrong with the missing record having not been provided."

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; Kat THEN said, "I see that. Look, I'm printing the designation and transmittal, and I'm going to have to take this to review with someone else., I will call you back."

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; BUt, she did not call me back yesterday.

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; I was out of town, but did call Amy to explain why I had not gone over to pick up your check and Amy said, she got a bankruptcy notice of a 235 page entry at pacer 02-50477 pacer # 67 that was forwarded at 5:15 pm on monday.

&gt;&gt;

&gt;&gt; # 67 Is still not on pacer docket this am. but I'm assuming they FINALLY GOT the faux pax, AND